Petitions Received from Members of the Public

	Petition	Executive Member
1.	Petition Opposing the Clean Air Zone Charging	Councillor Douglas Johnson
	Lead Petitioner: Jonathan Jones	Johnson
	The Council has received an electric petition containing 228 signatures, opposing the Clean Air Zone charging.	
	This plan will seriously damage trade and footfall into an already struggling city centre. It does not take into account tourism across the city to access the Peak District nor the appalling effect Meadowhall has had on retail in Sheffield. The irony of a council who tried to destroy the main means of keeping Sheffield's air clean in the form of our trees taking a measure which has little scientific basis in terms of meteorological research into wind direction is breathtaking.	
	Answered at the meeting, please see the minutes.	
2.	Petition Opposing the Plans to Install Red Lines on Ecclesall Road	Councillor Douglas Johnson
	The lead petitioner is Amy Lewis.	
	The Council has received an electric petition containing 33 signatures, opposing the plans to install red lines on Ecclesall Road.	
	No red lines on Ecclesall road disgusting to even think this is a good idea!! Will effect business people who live near and come from all over to shop and socialise.	
	Answered at the meeting, please see the minutes.	
3.	Petition Requesting the Council to Review and Remove the Double Yellow Lines at the Junction of Gainsford Road and Staniforth Road	Councillor Douglas Johnson
	The lead petitioner is Mansoor Afzal.	
	The Council has received a petition containing 63 signatures, requesting the review and removal of the double yellow lines at the junction of Gainsford Road and Staniforth Road.	

The double yellow lines are not effective now and causing problems with my customers. One side of the road yellow lines need to be removed immediately.	
Answered at the meeting, please see the minutes.	

Questions Received from Members of the Public

	Questions	Executive Member
1	Public questions from Neill Schofield Joint Chair, Friends of Parkwood Springs (1 in total):	
1.1	Question to Cabinet Member for City Futures, Development, Culture and Regeneration. 'I am asking this Question as Joint Chair of the Friends of Parkwood Springs, which is a large and active community group. We work in close partnership with the City Council to improve the whole of Parkwood Springs, as a resource for people, wildlife and the natural environment. Later in this meeting the Co-operative Executive will consider at Item 10 a report entitled 'Parkwood Options Appraisal'. Initially the Friends Group had considerable concerns about parts of the proposal. However, since Christmas we have been able to have very helpful discussions with Officers and the Cabinet Member. We remain absolutely opposed to an aspect of one of the options - that access by vehicles to the potential Skyline site might be from Cooks Wood Road/Shirecliffe Road. However we now understand why the Council needs to include that option in the process. Can the Cabinet Member confirm that in line with Department of Transport guidance, the transport options study will include early identification of the environmental and community constraints and impacts of each option alongside technical appraisals? We	Councillor Mazher Iqbal
	believe this is essential to ensure that fully informed decisions can be taken.	

2	Can he also re-affirm that the Friends Group and any other appropriate community groups will be fully involved at every stage of the process from here on for the development of the potential Skyline site at Parkwood Springs, to ensure that their views and concerns are given full weight in the process? Answered at the meeting, please see the minutes. Public questions from Nigel Slack	
	(2 in total):	
2.1	Q1 My apologies for the repetitive nature of this first question but since I am yet to receive a reasonable response I feel it necessary to continue asking, even in the face of such obstinate ignorance.	Councillor Cate McDonald
	Firstly, my thanks to the Deputy Leader for her response to question 2 from the set of specific questions first asked on 17 th November 2021. Clearly the rules and expectations around 'recorded voting' within Council is worthy of further conversation.	
	The attached specific points, with respect to the new 'plan' for Property Services, were first put to Council in questions 1 & 3 of that same November date, 8 weeks ago, following on from an initial question on the issues in October 2021. I repeated the questions for the December Exec Meeting but Covid issues led to that meeting being cancelled, though the questions were forwarded to the Exec Member by Democratic Services. That question has not even received an acknowledgement, never mind any actual answers.	
	I therefore wish to give the Exec Member one last chance to respond in detail before escalating the matter. When might I expect a detailed response in writing? Please remember Council has had 8 weeks to respond so far.	
	Answered in writing, please see separate document.	
2.2	I share the Leader's disappointment in the news that has come out over last weekend about the behaviour of the Chief Executive. I understand his wanting to await the report of Sue Gray before looking into this further,	Councillor Terry Fox

but remain concerned over the independence of the Sue Gray enquiry and the likelihood that 'scapegoats' will be sacrificed to save 'Big Dog' himself.

Irrespective, how soon after that report is published, might we expect the Senior Officer Employment Committee to meet and discuss the issues surrounding this revelation?

Answered at the meeting, please see the minutes.